1	Matthew J. Piers (IL SB #2206161)	
	(Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
2	mpiers@hsplegal.com	
	Kalman D. Resnick (IL SB #2318482)	
3	(Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
	kresnick@hsplegal.com	
4	Christopher J. Wilmes (IL SB # 6287688)	
	(Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
5	cwilmes@hsplegal.com	
-	HUGHES SOCOL PIERS RESNICK & DYM	
6	70 W. Madison Street, Suite 4000	
9	Chicago, IL 60602	
7	(312) 580-0100; (312) 580-1994 (Fax)	
′	(312) 300-0100, (312) 300-1994 (Fax)	
	T D11(CD #122000)	
8	Teresa Demchak (SB #123989)	
	tdemchak@gdblegal.com	
9	Roberta L. Steele (SB #188198)	
	rsteele@gdblegal.com	
10	James Kan (SB #240749)	
	jkan@gdblegal.com	
11	GOLDSTEIN, DEMCHAK, BALLER, BORGEN &	& DARDARIAN
	300 Lakeside Drive, Suite 1000	
12	Oakland, CA 94612	
	(510) 763-9800; (510) 835-1417 (Fax)	
13		
	Madeline Neighly (SB #259785)	
14	mneighly@nelp.org	
`	NATIONAL EMPLOYMENT LAW PROJECT	
15	405 14th Street, Suite 1400	
	Oakland, CA 94612	
16	(510) 663-5707; (510) 663-2028 (Fax)	
10	(310) 003 3707, (310) 003 2020 (1 dx)	
17	Counsel for Plaintiff Adrienne Hudson	
١/	Counsel for Flamuii Adriente Hudson	
18		
10	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COLIDT
اما		
19	NORTHERN DISTRI	CI OF CALIFORNIA
,		
20	ADRIENNE HUDSON, individually and on behalf	Case No.: C10-03158 WHA
	of all others similarly situated,	Cuse Ito CTO 03130 WIIII
21	of all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION
	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION
22	Flamuii,	IDDODOCEDI ODDED CDANTINC
		[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
23	VS.	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CLASS
	DID OFF TO A NIGHT IN C	CERTIFICATION
24	FIRST TRANSIT, INC.,	D . TT 1 A
-	5 4 4	Date: Thursday, August 11, 2011
25	Defendant.	Time: 8:00 a.m.
-5		Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup
26		Ctrm: 9, 19th Floor
-0		
$_{27} $		
- 1		

24

25

26 27

28

Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification was presented for hearing on August 11, 2011 at 8:00 a.m. After considering the papers and argument presented by Plaintiff and Defendant, the Court makes the following FINDINGS and ORDERS:

- 1. Plaintiff has moved the Court to certify the following class:
 - All African Americans who applied for and were offered conditional employment as drivers with First Transit at any time since January 23, 2009 and whose applications First Transit rejected because the class member failed First Transit's criminal background check policy.
- 2. The Court finds that Plaintiff has satisfied the numerosity requirement of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(1). Since January 23, 2009, Defendant, First Transit, Inc. has rejected hundreds of African American job applicants for bus driver positions because of the company's criminal background check policy.
- 3. The Court finds that Plaintiff has satisfied the commonality requirement of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(2). There are numerous common questions of law or fact related to Plaintiff's challenges to Defendant's company-wide policy or practice of rejecting employment applicants based on criminal background checks. Those common questions of law or fact include whether Defendant's company-wide criminal background check policy has an unlawful disparate impact on African-Americans and is consistent with business necessity, and if so, whether less discriminatory alternative employment practices exist. See 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(k).
- 4. The Court finds that Plaintiff has satisfied the typicality requirement of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(3). Named Plaintiff Adrienne Hudson alleges the same harm as the class and brings her claims under the same legal theories.
- 5. The Court finds that Named Plaintiff Adrienne Hudson is able to prosecute the action vigorously and that there is no antagonism or conflict of interest between Ms. Hudson and the other members of the class. In addition, Plaintiff's attorneys are experienced in handling employment class action lawsuits and are adequate class counsel. Thus, Plaintiff satisfies the adequacy requirement of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4).
- 6. The Court finds that Plaintiff has satisfied the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2), because her case is based on Defendant's alleged criminal background check policy which applies

1	generally to the class, making final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief appropriate	
2	with respect to the class as a whole. Additionally, certification is appropriate under Rule 23(b)(2)	
3	because Plaintiff seeks only declaratory and injunctive relief.	
4	7. The Court therefore finds that Plaintiff has satisfied the prerequisites for class	
5	certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and that the case may be maintained as a class action under	
6	Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2).	
7	8. In light of the foregoing, the Court hereby orders CERTIFICATION of the following	
8	class:	
9	11	
10	member failed First Transit's criminal background check policy	
11		
12	9. The Court appoints Adrienne Hudson as a class representative and Goldstein, Demchak	
13	Baller, Borgen & Dardarian and Hughes, Socol, Piers, Resnick & Dym, Ltd. as class counsel.	
14		
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16		
17	Dated:, 2011	
18	The Honorable William A. Alsup United States District Court Judge	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		